

```

\begin{definition}
\label{def:boundedseq}
We denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the set which satisfies
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{B} =
\{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n| < \infty\}.
\end{equation}
\end{definition}

```

Definition 1. We denote by ℓ^∞ the set which satisfies

$$\ell^\infty = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sup\{|a_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty\}. \quad (1)$$

```

\begin{athm}[lemma]{lem:monoconv}
Let  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ 
and assume that
for all
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
it holds that
 $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ .
Then
 $a$  converges
and
\begin{equation}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}
\end{equation}
\cfout[.]
\end{athm}

```

Lemma 2. Let $a \in \ell^\infty$ and assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ (cf. Definition 1). Then a converges and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (2)$$

```

\begin{aproof}
Throughout this proof let
\begin{equation}
L = \sup\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}
\end{equation}

```

Proof of Lemma 2. Throughout this proof let

$$L = \sup\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (3)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{sup};
} {
  that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{lim1}
    a_n \leq L \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Observe that (3) ensures that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that

$$a_n \leq L. \quad (4)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{sup};
  the assumption that  $a \in \text{BoundedSeqs}$ ;
} {
  that
  \llabel{Lfin}
  $
  L < \infty
  $ \dott
}

```

Next, note that (3) and the assumption that $a \in \ell^\infty$ imply that $L < \infty$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{sup};
  \lref{Lfin};
} {
  that for all
   $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ 
  there exists
   $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  such that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{a1}
    a_m > L - \epsilon \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Combining this and (3) shows that for all $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a_m > L - \epsilon. \quad (5)$$

```

\argument{
  the assumption that
    for all
       $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
    it holds that
       $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ ;
  induction
} {
  that for all
     $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
     $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ 
  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{a3}
    a_n \geq a_m \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

In the next step we combine the assumption that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ and induction to obtain that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ it holds that

$$a_n \geq a_m. \quad (6)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{a1};
  \lref{a3}
} {
  that for all
     $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ 
  there exists
     $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  such that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ 
  it holds that
  \llabel{a2}
   $a_n > L - \epsilon$  \dott
}

```

This and (5) prove that for all $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ it holds that $a_n > L - \epsilon$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{a2};
  \lref{lim1}
} {
  that for all
     $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ 
  there exists
     $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  such that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ 
  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{lim}
    \abs{a_n - L} < \epsilon \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

This and (4) demonstrate that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [m, \infty)$ it holds that

$$|a_n - L| < \varepsilon. \quad (7)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{lim}
} {
  that  $a$  converges and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L \dott$ 
}
\end{aproof}

```

Hence, we obtain that a converges and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$. The proof of Lemma 2 is thus complete. $\square$

```

\begin{athm}{theorem}{thm:bolzanoweierstrass}
  [Bolzano--Weierstrass theorem]
  Let  $a \in \text{BoundedSeqs}$  \cflload.
  Then there exists
     $n = (n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \colon \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
  such that it holds for all
     $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  that
     $n_{k+1} > n_k$ 
  and
     $(a_{n_l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges.
\end{athm}

```

Theorem 3 (Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem). *Let $a \in \ell^\infty$ (cf. Definition 1). Then there exists $n = (n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that it holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $n_{k+1} > n_k$ and $(a_{n_l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges.*

```
\begin{aproof}
Throughout this proof let
\begin{equation}
\llabel{defM}
M = \sup\{\abs{a_n} \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\} \dott
\end{equation}
```

Proof of Theorem 3. Throughout this proof let

$$M = \sup\{|a_n|: n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (8)$$

```
\argument{
the assumption that  $a \in \text{BoundedSeqs}$ 
} {
that  $M < \infty$  \dott
}
```

Observe that the assumption that $a \in \ell^\infty$ establishes that $M < \infty$.

```
\argument{
\lref{defM}
} {
that for all
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
it holds that
\begin{equation}
\llabel{eq1}
a_n \in [-M, M]
\dott
\end{equation}
}
```

Moreover, note that (8) ensures that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that

$$a_n \in [-M, M]. \quad (9)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{eq1}
} {
  that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{ind1}
    \abs{\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [-M, M]\}} = \infty \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Hence, we obtain that

$$|\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in [-M, M]\}| = \infty. \quad (10)$$

```

\argument{
  the fact that for all
  $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
  $d \in \mathbb{R} \cap (c, \infty)$
  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [c, d]\}
    \subseteq
    \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [c, \frac{c+d}{2}]\}
    \cup
    \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [\frac{c+d}{2}, d]\}
  \end{equation}
} {
  that for all
  $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
  $d \in \mathbb{R} \cap (c, \infty)$
  such that
  $\abs{\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [c, d]\}} = \infty$
  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{ind2}
    \abs{\cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [c, \frac{c+d}{2}]\}} = \infty
    \text{; \textit{or}}
    \abs{\cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n \in [\frac{c+d}{2}, d]\}} = \infty
    \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Furthermore, observe that the fact that for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{R} \cap (c, \infty)$ it holds that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in [c, d]\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in [c, \frac{c+d}{2}]\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in [\frac{c+d}{2}, d]\} \quad (11)$$

implies that for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{R} \cap [c, \infty)$ such that $|\{n \in \mathbb{N}: a_n \in [c, d]\}| = \infty$ it holds that

$$|\{n \in \mathbb{N}: a_n \in [c, \frac{c+d}{2}]\}| = \infty \vee |\{n \in \mathbb{N}: a_n \in [\frac{c+d}{2}, d]\}| = \infty. \quad (12)$$

```
\argument{
  \lref{ind1};
  \lref{ind2}
} {
  that there exist
    $c=(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ colon $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  and
    $d=(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ colon $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  which satisfy for all
    $n \in \mathbb{N}$
  that
  \begin{gather}
    \llabel{defcd1}
    (c_1, d_1)
    =
    (-M, M)
    , \quad
    (c_{n+1}, d_{n+1})
    \in \{(c_n, \frac{c_n+d_n}{2}), (\frac{c_n+d_n}{2}, d_n)\}
    , \\
    \llabel{defcd2}
    \text{and} \quad
    \abs*{\cu*k \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_k \in [c_n, d_n]}
    =
    \infty
    \dott
  \end{gather}
}
```

Combining this and (10) shows that there exist $c = (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $d = (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$(c_1, d_1) = (-M, M), \quad (c_{n+1}, d_{n+1}) \in \{(c_n, \frac{c_n+d_n}{2}), (\frac{c_n+d_n}{2}, d_n)\}, \quad (13)$$

$$\text{and } |\{k \in \mathbb{N}: a_k \in [c_n, d_n]\}| = \infty. \quad (14)$$

```

\startnewargseq
\argument{
  \lref{defcd1};
  induction
} {
  that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
    \llabel{cdbounded}
     $c_n, d_n \in [-M, M]$  \dott
}

```

Note that (13) and induction prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $c_n, d_n \in [-M, M]$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{cdbounded}
} {
  that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{cbounded}
    c \in \text{BoundedSeqs} \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Hence, we obtain that

$$c \in \ell^\infty. \quad (15)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{defcd1}
} {
  that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
    \llabel{cincreasing}
     $c_{n+1} \geq c_n$  \dott
}

```

Moreover, observe that (13) demonstrates that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $c_{n+1} \geq c_n$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{cbounded};
  \lref{cincreasing};
  \cref{lem:monoconv};
} {
  that  $c$  converges and
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{limc}
    \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n
    =
    \sup(\{c_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\})
    \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Combining this, (15), and Lemma 2 establishes that c converges and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \sup(\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}). \quad (16)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{defcd2};
  induction;
} {
  that there exists
   $m = (m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \colon \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
  which satisfies that for all
   $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
   $m_{k+1} > m_k$ 
  and
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{defm}
    a_{m_k} \in [c_k, d_k] \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

In the next step we combine (14) and induction to obtain that there exists $m = (m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $m_{k+1} > m_k$ and

$$a_{m_k} \in [c_k, d_k]. \quad (17)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{defcd1}
} {
  that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
    \llabel{distcd}
     $d_{n+1} - c_{n+1} = \frac{d_n - c_n}{2}$ 
}

```

In the next step we note that (13) ensures that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $d_{n+1} - c_{n+1} = \frac{d_n - c_n}{2}$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{distcd};
  the fact that  $d_1 - c_1 = 2M$ ;
  induction;
} {
  that for all
     $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
    \llabel{distcd2}
     $d_n - c_n = 2^{2-n}M$ 
}

```

This, the fact that $d_1 - c_1 = 2M$, and induction imply that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $d_n - c_n = 2^{2-n}M$.

```

\argument{
  \lref{distcd2};
  \lref{defm};
} {
  that for all
     $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  it holds that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{amkabove}
    a_{m_k}
    \leq
    d_k
    \leq
    c_k + 2^{2-k}M
    \leq
    \sup(\{c_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + 2^{2-k}M
    \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

This and (17) show that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that

$$a_{m_k} \leq d_k \leq c_k + 2^{2-k}M \leq \sup(\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + 2^{2-k}M. \quad (18)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{amkabove}
} {
  that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{limsup}
    \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{m_k}
    \leq
    \sup(\{c_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\})
    \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

Hence, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{m_k} \leq \sup(\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}). \quad (19)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{defm};
  \lref{limc};
} {
  that
  \begin{equation}
    \llabel{liminf}
    \liminf_{k\to\infty} a_{m_k}
    \geq
    \liminf_{k\to\infty} c_k
    =
    \sup(\{c_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\})
    \dott
  \end{equation}
}

```

In the next step we observe that (17) and (16) prove that

$$\liminf_{k\rightarrow\infty} a_{m_k} \geq \liminf_{k\rightarrow\infty} c_k = \sup(\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}). \quad (20)$$

```

\argument{
  \lref{limsup};
  \lref{liminf};
} {
  that  $(a_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges\dott
}
\end{aproof}

```

This and (19) demonstrate that $(a_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges. The proof of Theorem 3 is thus complete. $\square$